



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5676
10/010,965	•	12/07/2001	Paul J. Datta	KCC-16,986	
35844	7590	11/24/2004		EXAMINER	
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

we

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

 Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/010,965	DATTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Karin M. Reichle	3761	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>20 September 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.	
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify th appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).	е
3.	\boxtimes	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).	
4.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).	je
5.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).	
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and	
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.	
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or f together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.	all
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):	
		The following comments are based on the Rules of Practice effective 9-13-04. It is noted that only one copy of the brief is now required. The claims appendix should include a copy of only the appealed claims, i.e. claims 1-12 and 40-43. An amendment was filed subsequent to the final rejection, i.e. on 5/19/04, see the Status of amendments section. The title "SUMMARY OF INVENTION" should be "SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER" and include a concise explanal	

The following comments are based on the Rules of Practice effective 9-13-04. It is noted that only one copy of the brief is now required. The claims appendix should include a copy of only the appealed claims, i.e. claims 1-12 and 40-43. An amendment was filed subsequent to the final rejection, i.e. on 5/19/04, see the Status of amendments section. The title "SUMMARY OF INVENTION" should be "SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER" and include a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal and must refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. The Issues and Grouping of the claims sections should be one section titled "GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL" and include a statement of the claim numbers rejected and the statutory basis for such rejection, i.e. Claims 1, 8 and 40-43 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,622,589(Johnson et al).

Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761